

people in this body are hoping we pass it to force the Governor to veto it because it would make the Governor have to veto state aid to education. They would love to have to put the Governor in that kind of position. But look at it from our viewpoint, if you pass 18 or 9, there are some A bills, if it were passed into law, that we're going to pass something for education that will not do that much for education, but look at what may be lost. LB 49, noxious weed control for \$187,000, may have to go on the chopping block...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...because we spent 9 million. LB 162, animal damage control, 312,000 may have to go because we spent \$9 million. Court review of DSS placement may have to go at 251,000 because we spent \$9 million. Additional district court judges will have to go, may have to go. State takeover of indigent care will be at great risk. Increasing LB 270 benefits will be at great risks, \$287,000. Senator Nelson's incentives for nursing students would be at tremendous risk of being vetoed if we went with \$9 million. Leadership academy will be at great risk. Extending ADC payments of \$729,000; Foster Care Board legal standing \$288,000; MIRF, 4.5 million; state gaming laws, 807,000.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: You need to start looking of what you're going to cut because, if this thing passes, it will either be vetoed which is unfortunate, which means it is meaningless, or we cut it elsewhere that's desperately needed. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Warner, followed by Senators Moore and Nelson.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I rise to support the \$9 million, and not for the reason that you think, which is obvious that nine is less than 18. Now that doesn't have anything to do with it, not a thing. The first thought that occurs to me with the way we have legislation lined up, we've got 133 million that is going to evaporate in 1991 and 20 million after LB 89 will evaporate, and we add this nine here and that will evaporate, but it gives us a better base of available funds for redistribution. But the reason I'm